



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,663	10/01/2003	Didier Doyen	PF0202129	8970
7590	03/27/2008		EXAMINER	
Joseph S. Tripoli THOMSON Licensing Inc. Two Independence Way Post Office Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08540-5312			PHAM, TAMMY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/676,663	Applicant(s) DOYEN ET AL.
	Examiner TAMMY PHAM	Art Unit 2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 17 January 2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 17 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

3. **In regards to independent claim 1,** Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance, in light of the newly amended claims. In particular, Applicant submits that "*Frazier is to modify the control of the beam. It does not disclose generating a pre-corrected image (comprising inverse distortions) before providing it to the beam generator (Remarks 6).*" Examiner respectfully disagrees. Frazier still reads upon the newly amended claim language because Frazier teaches of a cathode ray tube display device (Fig. 1) having a display circuit (Fig. 1, item 16) and a method which provides the precorrected image (Fig. 1, signals before entering item 26) to the display circuit (Fig. 1, item 16) for displaying it (column 5, lines 10-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FRAZIER (US Patent No: 5,081,523).
5. **As for independent claim 1**, FRAZIER teaches of a method of processing a sequence of video images to be displayed with a cathode ray tube display device having a display circuit, which method is intended to correct the distortions created by the instability of the high voltage circuit of the cathode ray tube during the displaying of the images, the method comprises:
 6. characterizing the distortions created by the cathode ray tube,
 7. for each image of the sequence to be displayed, calculating the distortions affecting each image and generating a precorrected image comprising the inverse distortions, and
 8. providing the precorrected image to the display circuit for displaying it (*column 5, lines 10-25, The section teaches that the apparatus of FRAZIER is able to modulate/correct the intensity/distortion*).
9. **As for claim 2**, FRAZIER teaches that one of the distortions affecting the displaying of a current image being a global zoom varying as a function of the luminous intensity of the current image the method comprises:
 10. determining the global zoom created by the cathode ray tube as a function of the luminous intensity of the current image and of that of the previous images in *column 6, lines 60-65*; and

11. for each image of the sequence to be displayed, calculating the global zoom affecting the current image and generating a precorrected image by applying the inverse of the global zoom to the current image in *column 12, lines 29-50*. (NOTE: Where the global zoom will be treated as the intensity and in correcting the image will be treated as applying or calculating the inverse global zoom).

12. **As for claim 3**, FRAZIER teaches that the distortions affecting the displaying of a current image being a global zoom varying as a function of the luminous intensity of the current image and of that of the images which precede it in the sequence to be displayed and a local zoom affecting each line of the current image and varying as a function of the intensity of the line considered and of those of the lines which precede it in the current image, the method comprises:

13. characterizing the global zoom created by the cathode ray tube as a function of the luminous intensity of the current image and of that of the previous images;

14. characterizing the local zoom created by the cathode ray tube as a function of the luminous intensity of the line considered and of that of the previous lines in the current image in *column 6, lines 65-70*; and

15. calculating the global zoom affecting the current image and the local zooms affecting each of its lines and generating a precorrected image by applying, to the whole image, the inverse of the global zoom and, to each of its lines, the inverse of the local zoom calculated for the line considered in *column 12, lines 29-50 as explained in claim 2*. The apparatus corrects all the intensity, which encompasses the global and local zoom.

16. **As for claim 4,** FRAZIER teaches that the distortions affecting the displaying of a current image being a local zoom affecting each line of the current image and varying as a function of the beam current necessary for displaying the relevant line and the lines which precede it in the current image, the method comprises: characterizing the local zoom created by the cathode ray tube as a function of the beam current of the cathode ray tube for the relevant line and for the preceding lines in the current image in *column 6, lines 65-70*; and calculating the local zooms affecting each of the lines of the current image from measurements of beam current of each of them and generating a precorrected image by applying to each of the lines of the current image the inverse of the local zoom calculated from the relevant line in *column 12, lines 29-50 as explained in claim 2*.

17. **As for claim 5,** FRAZIER teaches that the method comprises:

18. characterizing the distortions created by the cathode ray tube for reference images as a function of the tube anode voltages necessary for the display of these images; and

19. calculating the distortions affecting the current image from measurements of anode voltages necessary for the display of this image and generating a precorrected image comprising the inverse distortions in *column 12, lines 29-50 as explained in claim 2. Where the intensity is indirectly related to the voltage so in correcting the intensity, one is correcting the voltage.*

Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammy Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 (Mon-Fri).
21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TP
19 March 2008

Tammy Pham
/Tammy Pham/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Sumati Lefkowitz/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629